## Review of Lagrangian and Hamiltonian Dynamics in Classical Mechanics Material from Physics 410

The basic process of Lagrangian mechanics is as follows:

- 1) Identify all of the constraints on the system.
- 2) Identify the degrees of freedom of the constrained system. Choose a set of generalized coordinates  $(q_1, q_2, ..., q_n)$  that incorporate all of the constraints and provide a minimal description (smallest n) of the most general motion of the constrained system.
- 3) Express the kinetic and potential energy of the system in terms of only the generalized coordinates, their time derivatives, and possibly also time. One can often use a Cartesian, cylindrical or spherical framework in which to write down the components of velocity. This helps when calculating the kinetic energy as  $T = \left(\frac{m}{2}\right) \vec{v} \cdot \vec{v}$ . Write out the full Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}(q, \dot{q}) = T U$ , where U is the potential energy.
- 4) Write out and solve the Euler-Lagrange equations for each generalized coordinate. One can think of the Euler-Lagrange equation as "generalized force" = time rate of change of "generalized momentum".  $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q_i} = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_i}$ , i = 1, 2, ..., n.

The Lagrangian was engineered specifically to reproduce Newton's second law of motion in component form, however it does not have a simple physical interpretation. The Hamiltonian is defined as  $\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \dot{q}_i - \mathcal{L}$ , where  $p_i = \partial \mathcal{L} / \partial \dot{q}_i$ . If there is a time-independent relationship between the Cartesian coordinates and the generalized coordinates,  $\vec{r}_{\alpha} = \vec{r}_{\alpha}(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_i, \dots, q_n)$ , then the Hamiltonian has a simple interpretation as the total mechanical energy T + U.

One can solve the *n* canonical momentum equations  $(p_i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i})$  for  $\dot{q}_i$  in terms of the coordinates  $q_i$  and momenta  $p_i$  to re-express all of the velocities in terms of just the coordinates and momenta  $\dot{q}_i = \dot{q}_i(q_i, p_i)$ . With this, one can express the Hamiltonian in terms of coordinates and momenta alone  $\mathcal{H}(q, p)$ , essentially employing a Legendre transformation to move from  $(q_i, \dot{q}_i)$  to  $(q_i, p_i)$  as the independent variables. Taking the derivative of the Hamiltonian with respect to  $q_i$  and  $p_i$ , one finds Hamilton's equations:  $\dot{q}_i = \partial \mathcal{H}/\partial p_i$  and  $\dot{p}_i = -\partial \mathcal{H}/\partial q_i$ , i = 1, ..., n. This is a set of 2*n* first-order differential equations, as opposed to the set of *n* second-order differential equations one gets from Lagrange's equations. The Hamiltonian dynamics formulation is useful for quantum mechanics and for classical statistical mechanics. As a way of solving classical mechanics problems it has few advantages over Lagrangian dynamics.

The procedure of utilizing the Hamiltonian method is: (1) choose the generalized coordinates  $q_i$ , (2) write down T, U, and  $\mathcal{L}$  in terms of the coordinates and their time-derivatives, (3) compute the conjugate momenta  $p_i = \partial \mathcal{L} / \partial \dot{q}_i$ , (4) express the  $\dot{q}_i$  in terms of  $q_i$  and  $p_i$ , (5) compute the Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H}$ , and (6) write out and solve Hamilton's equations.

The advantages of the Hamiltonian formulation of mechanics: 1) It is the last step before making the observables into operators and developing quantum mechanics, 2) It allows for a powerful geometrical interpretation of classical mechanics in phase space, 3) and this in turn makes it very useful for nonlinear dynamics and statistical mechanics, 4) it is well-suited for applying perturbation theory, 5) it allows for ignorable coordinates to be fully exploited, and 6) the Hamilton equations are first-order-in-time differential equations, which are often easier to solve than the second-order Euler-Lagrange equations.